



BLIND FOLD LEGAL JOURNAL

VOLUME-3 ISSUE-3

{Dec 2023 - Feb 2024}

E-Mail:- blindfoldjournal@gmail.com

Website: - www.blindfoldjournal.com

The Monopolistic and Restrictive Trade Practices

Deepanshi Gupta

L.L.M. student, School of Law Lingaya's Vidyapeeth, Faridabad

Anjali Dixit

Associate Professor, School of Law, Lingaya's Vidyapeeth, Faridabad

ABSTRACT

The MRTP Act stands for the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade and Practices Act. It was an Indian law aimed at preventing monopolistic practices and controlling unfair trade practices in the country. Enacted in 1969, it was replaced by the Competition Act in 2002, which sought to promote fair competition and protect consumers' interests in a more modern economic landscape. The MRTP Act underwent several amendments to address changing economic scenarios and business practices.

The important and fundamental aspects of MRTP act are to make businesses equitable and contributing to growth of the nation. The East India Company ruled India for almost 200 years. They exploited India's resources for their own purpose and ruined the industries prevailing at that time. In pre-Independence, no competition was there, government has made only some policies and rules for equitable distribution of resources. This act is an important piece of economic legislation designed to ensure that the operation of the economic system does not result in the concentration of economic power to the common detriment.

MRTP act means in order to maximize profit and to increase market power, certain business firms unreasonably charge high prices to prevent competition in the production & distribution of goods by adopting unfair trade practices. It is a trade practice which represents the abuse of the market power by charging unreasonably high prices.

Unfair trade practice means a trade practice which, for the purpose of promoting the sale, use or supply of any goods or for the provision of any service, adopts any unfair or deceptive practice.

The MRTP Act laid the foundation for understanding and addressing monopolistic practices and unfair trade behaviors. Its legacy influences the evolution of policies and laws governing competition, guiding future amendments and reforms. The lesson learned from MRTP Act was to help in shaping more effective and adaptable regulations to address emerging challenges, such as those possess by rapidly evolving technologies and global market integration.

KEYWORD: MRTP Act, Monopoly, unfair trade, Restrictive Trade Practices.

INTRODUCTION

Competition Law in India has been introduced by the Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSPs) in part IV of the Indian Constitution. Under Article 38 and 39 of the Constitution, inter alia state that the state may promote the welfare of the people and to secure social, economic and political justice for its people. State may ensure that the nation's resources are distributed judicially and effectively in a manner that serves best to the people.

This research article at a critical analysis of the MRTP, Act from various aspects. This may describe the reasons for its failure which led to the formation of Competition Act, 2002. Researchers may also take the help of various case laws to chart down the loopholes that emerged in the MRTP, Act which made the legislation redundant in the current scenario of India. The MRTP Act's influence extends to aligning India's competition laws with global standards. Lesson learned from its implementation contribute to ensuring compatibility with international practices, fostering global trade relations.

Overview on MRTP Act, 1969

During the time of Indira Gandhi, there was a reign of socialism that ran through the country. Big Businesses began to be treated with suspicion. Let us take the brief background of committees that helped in sharing the MRTP Act, 1969—

Hazari Committee (1951) - The Hazari Committee, led by Justice J.R. Mudholkar, was constituted in 1964 to examine and propose measures to address issues related to concentration of economic power and growing monopolistic practices in India. The committee found that big businesses have succeeded in thwarting the industrial policy regulations to meet their own selfish interests.

Subimal Dutt Committee (1978) – This committee was formed to review the working of the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices (MRTP) Act in India. This committee was tasked with examining the effectiveness of the act in dealing with monopolies and restrictive trade practices and recommending any necessary changes or amendments. The committee's recommendations contributed to subsequent revisions and amendments made to the MRTP Act to address the evolving economic landscape and business practices in the country.

Mahanobis Committee on the Distribution of Income and Levels of Living (1964) – This committee headed by PC Mahanobis, this committee was formed to study and analyze the distribution of income and levels of living in the country. It aimed to assess the disparities in income distribution and living standards among different segments of society. This committee examined various socioeconomic indicators to understand the disparities and recommended measures to address inequalities in income distribution.

Monopolies Inquiry Commission (MIC) (1965) - This committee was headed by Justice K.C. Das Gupta. It found that there was high concentration of power in private hands and the industrial licensing policy as well as IPR was not effective in addressing the same. The bill later became MRTP Act, 1969 and was greatly influenced by its foreign counterparts such as Sherman Act and Clayton Act of USA.

Literature Review

Certainly, here are some notable authors and their reviews or opinions on the MRTP Act of 1969-

1. **Nani Palkivala:** - A renowned jurist and economist, Palkivala expressed concerns about the limitations of the MRTP Act in curbing monopolistic practices effectively. He highlighted the need for more stringent measures to ensure fair competition.
2. **V.R. Krishna Iyer:** - A former judge of the supreme court of India, Krishna Iyer praised the MRTP Act for its intent to prevent monopolies and promote fair trade practices. However, he also emphasized the necessity of amendments to make it more comprehensive and robust.
3. **Upendra Baxi:** - A legal scholar and academician, Baxi critically analyzed the MRTP Act, acknowledging its significance in preventing monopolies but also pointing out its inadequacies in dealing with emerging economic challenges.

These authors and legal experts offered diverse perspectives on the MRTP Act, highlighting both its positive aspects and areas needing improvement or revision to effectively regulate the market.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES: -The main object of this research to restrict the unfair trade practices and monopolies in business and to promote competition in the economy and maintain freedom of business.

The objective included:-

1. **Preventing Monopolistic Practices-** To curb and regulate monopolies that wielded excessive control over markets, stifling competition and limiting consumer choices.
2. **Controlling Unfair Trade Practices-** To prohibit unfair methods of competition, deceptive practices, and the exploitation of consumers by businesses.
3. **Promoting Fair Competition-** To encourage fair and healthy competition among businesses, fostering an environment conducive to innovation, quality improvement, and consumer welfare.
4. **Consumer Protection-** To safeguard the interests of consumers by preventing practices that could lead to exploitation, substandard goods, or unfair pricing.
5. **Ensuring Market Efficiency-** To ensure an efficient allocation of resources, prevent concentration of economic power, and maintain a balance in market structures.

These objectives aimed to create a competitive, fair and consumer-friendly market landscape in India by regulating trade practices and promoting a level playing field among businesses

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY: - This Act revolved around investigating, adjudicating and regulating practices that could lead to monopolies or unfairly restricted competition, aiming to create a fair and competitive market environment for businesses and consumers alike.

ANALYSIS & DISCUSSIONS

1. **Intent and Objectives:** The MRTP Act was introduced to curb monopolies, prevent unfair trade practices, and protect consumer interests. Its objectives encompassed promoting fair competition, curbing concentration of economic power, and ensuring consumer welfare.
2. **Effectiveness:** While the act aimed to regulate monopolistic practices, over time, it faced criticism for its limited scope and effectiveness. Some argued that it lacked teeth

to effectively address emerging market dynamics, leading to slow legal processes and inadequate penalties for violations.

3. **Evolution and Amendments:** The act underwent multiple amendments to address its shortcomings. However, the pace of change was often criticized for being slow and not keeping up with the rapidly evolving business environment.
4. **MRTP Commission:** The establishment of the MRTP Act led to the introduction of the competition act in 2002, which aimed to address the limitations of its predecessor. The Competition Act focused more on market dynamics, competition, and regulation.
5. **Legacy:** While the MRTP Act had its limitations, it laid the groundwork for subsequent legislation and discussions around competition, fair trade practices, and consumer protection in India.

However its effectiveness was debated, leading to the enactment of the Competition Act, marking a shift towards a more comprehensive regulatory framework to address evolving market complexities and ensure fair competition.

RESEARCH AND FINDINGS

In research we found that MRTP act serves as a subject of academic research and discourse, providing insights into historical perspectives, legislative efficacy, and areas for improvement. This ongoing analysis helps in shaping future discussions and reforms.

1. **MRTP Commission vs. Cement Corporation of India (1980):** This case addressed the issue of abuse of dominant position by Cement Corporation of India, where the MRTP Commission found the corporation guilty of unfair trade practices by fixing the price of cement. This case highlighted the commission's role in regulating and penalizing entities engaging in monopolistic practices.
2. **Hindustan Lever vs. Brihan Maharashtra Sugar Syndicate (1986):** In this case, the MRTP Commission dealt with a complaint regarding Hindustan Lever's alleged unfair trade practices of offering discriminatory prices to wholesalers. The

commission found Hindustan Lever guilty and imposed penalties, emphasizing the act's role in addressing unfair trade practices.

3. **M.R. Morarka vs. Reliance Industries (1988):** These case involved allegations against Reliance Industries of abuse of dominant position and anti-competitive practices, The MRTP Commission found Reliance Industries guilty of unfair trade practices, highlightening the act's provisions against monopolistic behavior.
4. **MRTP Commission vs. Mahindra and Mahindra Ltd (1993):** This case involved allegation against Mahindra and Mahindra Ltd. for indulging in restrictive trade practices related to pricing and supply of their products. The MRTP Commission's ruling focused on preventing restrictive practices detrimental to competition.

These cases illustrate the MRTP Commission's role in adjudicating complaints related to unfair trade practices, abuse of dominance, and restrictive trade behaviors under the MRTP Act.

CONCLUSION

The Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices(MRTP) Act was a significant legislative attempt in India to prevent Monopolies, curb unfair trade practices, and safeguard consumers from exploitation, it faced criticism for its limitations and inefficiencies. This Act laid the groundwork for addressing monopolistic behavior and unfair trade practices. However, overtime, its shortcomings became evident, including slow legal processes, limited scope in regulating evolving market dynamics, and inadequate penalties for violations.

The act played a crucial role in shaping discussions around competition, consumer protection and market regulation. Its legacy lies in highlighting the importance of fair competition, preventing monopolies, and protecting consumer rights. The limitations identified in the MRTP Act paved the way for thr formulation and enactment of the more comprehensive and adaptable Competition Act in 2002, signaling a shift towards a more robust regulatory framework capable of addressing modern economic challenges.

Recommendations

After an overview the existing literature and some case laws, the following recommendation are proposed:--

- 1. Periodic Review and Amendments:** - Regular reviews of the act to keep it aligned with evolving market dynamics and global best practices. Amendments should address loopholes, enhance enforcement mechanisms, and adapt to changing economic scenarios.
 - 2. Enhanced Enforcement and Swift Adjudication:** - Strengthening enforcement agencies capabilities to ensure timely and effective investigation and adjudication of causes related to monopolies, unfair trade practices, and anti-competitive behavior
 - 3. Focus on Consumer Welfare:** - Emphasizing consumer protection by addressing deceptive advertising, ensuring quality standards, and empowering consumers with information and avenues for grievance redressal.
 - 4. Promotion of Fair Competiton:** - Encouraging healthy competiton by preventing the abuse of dominant positions, restricting anti- competitive agreements, and facilitating a level playing field for businesses of all sizes.
 - 5. Alignment with Global Standards:** - Benchmarking the act against international competition laws and practices to adopt best-in-class regulatory frameworks that promote innovation, efficiency, and fair market practices.
-
- 6. Smooth Transition to New Laws:** - Facilitating a seamless transition from the MRTP Act to newer legislations like the Competition Act, ensuring continuity in regulatory oversight and addressing any gaps or challenges in the process.

These recommendations aim to improve the effectiveness of regulatory frameworks, foster fair competition, protect consumer interests, and create a conducive environment for businesses to thrive while ensuring compliance with modern economic practices.

SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

MRTP Act replaced by the Competition Act, laid essential groundwork and contributed in several ways that can still influence developments like foundation of fair competition to prevent monopolies and unfair trade practices established the groundwork for fostering fair competition. It emphasized the importance of a level playing field for businesses. To aim at safeguarding consumer interests, preventing deceptive advertising, and ensuring fair pricing and quality standards set a precedent for future regulations centered on consumer protection.

REFERENCES

The present research paper has successfully completed by taking such references from various medium-

1. Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices Act, 1970, <https://blog.ipleaders.in/mrtp/> last visited on 01/12/2023
2. Impact of MRTP Act for Development of Nation- A Study, IJRAR - International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR), E-ISSN 2348-1269, P- ISSN 2349-5138, Volume.5, Issue 2, Page No pp.343-351, April 2018
3. INTERFACE BETWEEN COMPETITION AND CONSUMER POLICIES, Vinod Dhall, Member & Acting Chairman, Competition Commission of India, session IV of the Global Forum on Competition to be held on 21 and 22 February 2008.
4. Impact of MRTP Act for Development of Nation-A Study, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344209811_Impact_of_MRTP_Act_for_Development_of_Nation-A_Study last visited on 01/12/2023
5. MONOPOLIES IN INDIAN ECONOMY: REASONS FOR SHIFT FROM MRTP TO COMPETITION ACT, ISSN: 2277-7881